Chapter 6:

SHOCKTROOPS

In 1944, while World War II still raged, Lord Montagu Norman resigned from his position at the Bank of England. Norman, a man prone to repeated mental problems and hospitalizations, and who had been a staunch supporter of Hitler prior to the war, announced the beginning of the British National Association for Mental Health, which was in fact only a renaming of the National Councils of Mental Hygiene, a group that had been heavily involved in pushing eugenics programs prior to the war. With Hitler's downfall, eugenics had an extremely unsavory odor that needed to be deodorized with a name change. Certainly eugenics never vanished, as witness, for example, the thousands of women involuntarily sterilized in Sweden and other European countries until the mid-1970s. The NAMH functioned as a Tavistock-front organization which would soon become the controlling body for world psychiatry. [1]

An examination of the officers of NAMH provides clues as to its direction: Richard Austen Butler, the pro-Nazi deputy foreign minister to Lord Halifax, became president. Lord Halifax's son-in-law, the Earl of Feversham, became chairman. Vice chairman was Norman's wife, Priscilla Reyntiens Worsthorne Norman, a vocal proponent of eugenics programs and the Nazis, who had assisted German rearmament by releasing 6,000,000 British pounds in Czech gold to the Germans after the occupation of Czechoslovakia. Otto Niemeyer, Norman's assistant at the Bank of England, became treasurer of the organization. Perhaps the group should have been titled the Nazi Association for Mental Health?

In 1948 an International Congress on Mental Health at the Ministry of Health in London was convened by Norman. The Congress was hosted by the British National Association, whose patron was the Duchess of Kent, widow of the Grand Master of Masons and mother of the Grand Master of Masons to follow. A World Federation for Mental Health was formed at the Congress, with Brigadier General Dr. John Rawlings Rees, head of the British psychological warfare department and of the Tavistock Institute, named president. Co-director of the World Federation was Dr. Frank Fremont-Smith, chief medical officer of the Rockefeller-spawned Josiah Macy Foundation that would later be the primary funnel for CIA MKULTRA mind control funding. According to Nina Ridenour, technical coordinator of the U.S. delegation to the Congress, "The World Federation for Mental Health... had been created upon the recommendation of the United Nations' World Health
Organization and UNESCO because they needed a non-governmental mental health organization with which they could cooperate."

The vice presidents of the Congress, again, provide a sense of its direction: Dr. Hugh Chrichton-Miller was a founder of Tavistock, and vice-president of the C.G. Jung Institute in Zurich. The blatantly anti-Semitic Jung himself was another vice president of the Congress. Dame Evelyn Fox was a leading light of the British eugenics movement. Lord Thomas Jees Horder was president of the Eugenics Society of Great Britain.

Dr. Winfred Overhulser was a high-ranking representative of the Scottish Rite Masons, and reportedly linked to German spy activities in the U.S. Dr. Alfred Frank Tredgold was a member of Britain's Ministry of Health Committee on Sterilization.

Providing additional insight into the goals of the manipulators of the WFMH are statements of Dr. G. Brock Chisholm, first director and later head of the World Health Organization of the United Nations. Chisholm delivered an address to psychiatrists and government officials in Washington, D.C. in 1945, confiding: "What basic psychological distortion can be found in every civilization of which we know anything? The only psychological force capable of producing these perversions is morality—the concept of right and wrong. The re-interpretation and eventual eradication of the concept of right and wrong are the belated objectives of nearly all psychotherapy."

Chisholm also said that, "The people who have been taught to believe whatever they were to told by their parents or their teachers are the people who are the menace to the world." [3]

Given these sentiments, that right and wrong do not exist and that parents are the persons least fit for educating their children, can any excesses and crimes of the "mental health" field be a surprise? [4]

The words of a declaration issued by the National Institute for Mental Health in the United States further reveal that psychiatry has its masters, even beyond the Nazis, Tavistock, British aristocracy, and the Freemasons:

"Principles of mental health cannot be successfully furthered in any society unless there is progressive acceptance of the concept of world citizenship. World citizenship can be widely extended among all peoples through applications of the principles of mental health... At a major turning point in world history there is an obligation on social scientists and psychiatrists to attempt this new formulation."

By 1961, the NIMH, under the direction of Masonic controller Robert Felix, had distilled a group of psychiatrists, neuropsychologists, chemists, and others, including many participants in the CIA's infamous
MKULTRA program, into the American College of Neuropsychopharmacology. One segment of the college, the Study Group for the Effects of Psychotropic Drugs on Normal Humans, held a 1967 conference to chart out the directions mind control would follow until the year 2000. The Evans-Kline Report, authored by MKULTRA mind control psychiatrists Wayne O. Evans, director of the U.S. Army Military Stress Laboratory, and Nathan Kline, eugenicist and Columbia University psychiatrist, reported that the group "concluded that the present breadth of drug use may be almost trivial when we compare it to the possible numbers of chemical substances that will be available for the control of selective aspects of man's life in the year 2000."

The study theorized, in obvious reflection of the Tavistock Institute's plan, that American culture is "moving toward a 'sensate society'. A greater emphasis is being placed on sensory experience and less upon rational or work-oriented philosophies. Such a philosophical view, coupled with the means to separate sexual behavior from reproduction or disease, will undoubtedly enhance sexual freedom.

"It seems obvious that the youth of today are no longer afraid of either drugs or sex. Again, the philosophers and spokesmen for the avant-garde advocate the personal sensory experience as the raison d'etre of the coming generation. Finally, we are moving into an age in which meaningful work will be possible only for a minority: In such an age, chemical aphrodisiacs may be accepted as a commonplace means to occupy one's time...

"If we accept the position that human mood, motivation, and emotion are reflections of a neurochemical state of the brain, then drugs can provide a simple, rapid, expedient means to produce any desired neurochemical state that we wish.

"The sooner that we cease to confuse scientific and moral statements about drug use, the sooner we can rationally consider the types of neurochemical states that we wish to be able to provide for people."

Shades of Brave New World. In 1975, NIMH funded an overall evaluation of behavior modification approaches. Its conclusions were—surprise!—that these techniques should be applied to the general population of the world, and to larger numbers than ever before. [5]

At about the same time, the Association for the Advancement of Psychotherapy, in their Mankind 2000 report, introduced the following dark vision:

"In the organization of a civilization of the future we anticipate that the individualistically-oriented man will become an anachronism. Indeed, he will be viewed as a threat to the group organization as well as to his fellow man. Hence, as stated, he in all likelihood will have few individual expectations. While such a picture may not be pleasant to
contemplate, when viewed with our present orientation and value judgement, we would be amiss were we to deal with unrealistic imageries that would blind us to future reality..."

"The new world of the closed, automated system will necessitate a radical change in political, technologic, and social thinking. All too often, however, we remain bound by the conventional tenets and wisdom of past generations... The cybercultural revolution is altering all this. It differs radically from previous innovations because now man has devices that will largely supplant his labor and certain activities of his mind." [6]
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